FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.1060 OF 2007

[Dilip Ramchandra Doifode. Vs. State.]

Office Notes, office Memoranda of Coram, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	: Court's or Judge's orders

None for the Petitioner.

Mrs.A.S.Pai, APP, for the State.

CORAM : R.M.S.KHANDEPARKAR AND SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,JJ.

DATED : SEPTEMBER 07, 2007.

P.C.:-

1. Heard the learned APP for the State. None appeared for the Petitioner.

2. The petitioner had preferred an application for furlough, which came to be rejected on the ground of adverse police report. In the police report,

it is mentioned that there are five cognizable cases registered against the petitioner. The details of which are as under :- (1) C.R.No.77/1988 u/sec.302 IPC of Laxmipuri Police Station, (2) C.R.No.87/1995 u/sec.392 IPC of Shahupuri Police Station, (3) C.R.No.207/2000 u/secs.143, 147, 148, 149, 353, 332, 337 IPC of Shahupuri Police Station, (4) C.R. No.40/2001 u/secs.336, 427 IPC, & (5) C.R.No.11/1989 u/sec.392, 324 IPC of Rajarampuri Police Station. In addition thereto there are five non-cognizable cases registered against the petitioner. Besides these cases, there are eight chapter proceedings which have been initiated against the petitioner.

3. Looking to all these facts, we do not think that this is a fit case to interfere with the order rejecting application for furlough preferred by

the petitioner. Needless to say that if in future the petitioner prefers a fresh application for furlough, the same shall be decided independently on its own merits.

4. Writ Petition is disposed of accordingly.

5. Jail authorities to communicate this order to the petitioner who is in Kolhapur Central Prison.

[R.M.S.KHANDEPARKAR,J.]

[SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.]